Document 6

1	COX, WOOTTON, GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP				
2	Terence S. Cox (SBN 076142) Marc T. Cefalu (SBN 203324)				
3	190 The Embarcadero San Francisco, CA 94105				
4	Telephone No.: 415-438-4600 Facsimile No.: 415-438-4601				
5					
6	Attorneys for Defendant, MAERSK LINE, LIMITED				
7					
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT				
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA				
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION				
11	SAMUEL GARRETT,	Case No.: C 07 3318 EMC			
12	Plaintiff,	MAERSK LINE, LIMITED'S			
13	v.)	ANSWER TO PLAINTIFF SAMUEL GARRETT'S FIRST			
14	U.S. SHIP MANAGEMENT, INC.,) AMENDED COMPLAINT FOR PERSONAL INJURIES			
15	SEALAND SERVICES, INC., MAERSK) LINE LIMITED and DOES 1 – 10, in)				
16	personam, and M/V SEA-LAND METEOR their engines, tackle, apparel,				
17	furniture, etc., <i>in rem</i> ,				
18	Defendants.)				
19	Defendant Maersk Line, Limited ["Defendant"], by and through its attorneys, hereby				
20	answers Plaintiff Samuel Garrett's First Amended Complaint for Personal Injuries: Jones				
21	Act, Unseaworthiness and Maintenance and Cure ["Complaint"] as follows:				
22	INTRODUCTORY ALLEGATIONS				
23	1. Answering Paragraph 1 of the Complaint, the Defendant admits the				
24	allegations contained in that paragraph.				
25	2. Answering Paragraph 2 of the Complaint, to the extent Plaintiff predicates				

COX, WOOTTON, GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP

190 THE EMBARCADERO SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 TEL: 415-438-4600 FAX: 415-438-4601 27

28

MAERSK Garrett/2520

information and belief to respond to such allegations, and on that basis denies the same.

this Court's jurisdiction on the Jones Act, 46 U.S.C. § 688, Article III, Section 2 of the

United States Constitution, and 28 U.S.C. section and 1333, this Defendant lacks sufficient

3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	_
5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	3
6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	4
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	5
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	6
9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	7
10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	8
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	9
12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	10
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	11
14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	12
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	13
16 17 18 19 20 21 22	14
17 18 19 20 21 22	15
18 19 20 21 22	16
19 20 21 22	17
20 21 22	18
21 22	19
22	20
	21
23	22
	23

This Defendant further answers that to the extent that Plaintiff predicates this Court's
jurisdiction pursuant to statutes providing jurisdiction based on diversity, maritime, and
supplemental bases, Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to respond to such
allegations, and on that basis denies the same.

- 3. Answering Paragraph 3 of the Complaint, this Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to respond to the allegations that the plaintiff is a resident of San Francisco, California and on that basis denies the same.
- 4. Answering Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, this Defendant admits that it is a corporation duly organized and existing and at relevant times herein doing business in the Northern District of California. This Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to respond to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 4 of the Complaint, and on that basis denies the same.
- 5. Answering Paragraph 5 of the Complaint, this Defendant admits that it has contacts within the State of California and that venue is proper in the Northern District of California. As to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 5 of the Complaint, this Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to respond to those allegations, and on that basis denies the same.
- 6. Answering Paragraph 6 of the Complaint, this Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to respond to the allegations contained in that paragraph and on that basis denies the same.
- 7. Answering Paragraph 7 of the Complaint, Paragraph 7 contains no charging allegations against this Defendant. To the extent that Paragraph 7 of the Complaint can be construed as containing charging allegations against this Defendant, this Defendant denies those allegations.
- 8. Answering Paragraph 8 of the Complaint, Defendant denies the allegations contained in that paragraph.

///

24

25

26

27

///

28 MAERSK Garrett/2520

COX WOOTTON GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP

> THE EMBARCADERO SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 TEL: 415-438-4600 FAX: 415-438-4601

1

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24

25 COX WOOTTON GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP 26

THE EMBARCADERO SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 TEL: 415-438-4600 FAX: 415-438-4601 27

28

FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF

(Jones Act Negligence As to Maersk Only)

- 9. Answering Paragraph 9 of the Complaint, Paragraph 9 of the Complaint is an incorporation paragraph and therefore requires no response from this Defendant. To the extent that Paragraph 9 of the Complaint can be construed as containing charging allegations against this Defendant, this Defendant denies those allegations.
- 10. Answering Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, defendant Maersk Line, Ltd., admits that it maintained, operated and controlled the M/V Sea-Land Explorer and the M/V Sea-Land Meteor on the dates of the alleged incidents. As to the remaining allegations contained in Paragraph 10 of the Complaint, this Defendant lacks sufficient information and belief to respond to those allegations and on that basis denies the same.
- 11. Answering Paragraph 11 of the Complaint, this Defendant admits that the plaintiff was working aboard the M/V Sea-Land Explorer on or about June 28 or 29, 2004.
- 12. Answering Paragraph 12 of the Complaint, this Defendant denies the allegations contained in that paragraph.
- 13. Answering Paragraph 13 of the Complaint, this Defendant admits that the plaintiff was working aboard the M/V Sea-Land Meteor on or about February 23, 2005.
- 14. Answering Paragraph 14 of the Complaint, this Defendant denies the allegations contained in that paragraph.
- 15. Answering Paragraph 15 of the Complaint, this Defendant denies the allegations contained in that paragraph.
- 16. Answering Paragraph 16 of the Complaint, this Defendant denies the allegations contained in that paragraph.
- 17. Answering Paragraph 17 of the Complaint, this Defendant denies the allegations contained in that paragraph.
- 18. Answering Paragraph 18 of the Complaint, this Defendant denies the allegations contained in that paragraph.
 - 19. Answering Paragraph 19 of the Complaint, this Defendant denies the

MAERSK Garrett/2520

Case 3.07-CV-03310-VIXVV	
allegations contained in that	1
	2
(<u>Unseaworth</u>	3
	4
20. Answering Pa	5
an incorporation paragraph a	6
extent that Paragraph 20 of the	7
allegations against this Defer	8
21. Answering Pa	9
information and belief to res	10
basis denies the same.	11
22. Answering Pa	12
information and belief to res	13
basis denies the same.	14
23. Answering Pa	15
allegations contained in that	16
24. Answering Pa	17
allegations contained in that	18
	19
(<u>Maintenance</u>	20
	21
25. Answering Pa	22
an incorporation paragraph a	23

aragraph.

ECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF

ness as to Maersk, the M/V Sea-Land Explorer

and the M/V Sea-Land Meteor)

- agraph 20 of the Complaint, Paragraph 20 of the Complaint is d therefore requires no response from Defendant. To the e Complaint can be construed as containing charging dant, this Defendant denies those allegations.
- agraph 21 of the Complaint, this Defendant lacks sufficient ond to the allegations contained in that paragraph and on that
- agraph 22 of the Complaint, this Defendant lacks sufficient ond to the allegations contained in that paragraph and on that
- agraph 23 of the Complaint, this Defendant denies the aragraph.
- agraph 24 of the Complaint, this Defendant denies the aragraph.

THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF

and Cure as to Maersk, M/V Sea-Land Explorer

and the M/V Sea-Land Meteor)

- agraph 25 of the Complaint, Paragraph 25 of the Complaint is an incorporation paragraph and therefore requires no response from Defendant. To the extent that Paragraph 25 of the Complaint can be construed as containing charging allegations against this Defendant, this Defendant denies those allegations.
- 26. Answering Paragraph 26 of the Complaint, this Defendant denies the allegations contained in that paragraph.
 - 27. Answering Paragraph 27 of the Complaint, this Defendant admits that it

25 COX WOOTTON GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP

24

26 THE EMBARCADERO SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105 TEL: 415-438-4600 FAX: 415-438-4601 27

28

MAERSK Garrett/2520

	1 	provided the plaintiff with maintenance page	yments. As to the remaining allegations	
	contained in Paragraph 27 of the Complaint, this Defendant denies those allegations			
	3			
	4			
	5	Dated: August 17, 2007	COX, WOOTTON, GRIFFIN,	
	6	,	COX, WOOTTON, GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP Attorneys for Defendant, MAERSK LINE, LIMITED	
	7		MAERŠK LINE, LIMITED	
	8		D (G)	
	9		By:/S/ Marc T. Cefalu	
	10			
	11			
	12			
	13			
	14			
	15			
	16			
	17			
	18			
	19			
	20			
	21			
	22			
	23			
	24			
GRIFFIN, HANSEN & POULOS, LLP	25			
190 THE EMBARCADERO SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94105	26			
FAX: 415-438-4601	27			
MAERSK.Garrett/2520	28			
			5	